

## Performance Optimization in Elite Athletes: Training Load, Nutritional Strategies, and AI-Assisted Monitoring

Elit Atletlerde Performans Optimizasyonu: Antrenman Yüğü,  
Beslenme Stratejileri ve Yapay Zekâ Destekli Takip

**Tunay DİLİCAN\***

Bursa Uludağ University, Bursa, Türkiye,  
tunaydilican86@hotmail.com Orcid 0000-0003-4686-6849

\*Corresponding author: Tunay DİLİCAN

Derleme Makale / Review Article

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17565370>

Gönderi T. / Received : 20.07.2025

Kabul T. / Accepted : 28.09.2025

Online Yayın T. / Published : 15.10.2025

### Abstract:

The aim of this review is to examine the effects of training load management, nutritional strategies, and artificial intelligence-assisted monitoring processes on the performance of high-performance athletes. The study evaluates the interaction of these three areas from an interdisciplinary perspective by utilizing recently published scientific sources. In elite athletes, the proper planning of internal and external training loads is a key factor for enhancing physiological adaptations, preventing overtraining syndrome, and ensuring long-term performance sustainability. Systematic load management supported by appropriate recovery strategies directly contributes to improvements in endurance, strength, and agility. Moreover, individualized nutritional programs and evidence-based ergogenic aids (such as creatine, caffeine, and beta-alanine) optimize energy metabolism and lead to significant enhancements in performance outcomes. In recent years, rapidly advancing wearable technologies and AI-based analytic systems have enabled real-time monitoring of training loads, data-driven decision-making, and the early prediction of injury risks. In conclusion, the integration of balanced training load management, precise nutritional strategies, and AI-assisted monitoring systems substantially contributes to sustainable performance enhancement, improved recovery efficiency, and the maximization of physiological capacity in elite athletes.

**Keywords:** Nutrition, Artificial Intelligence, Performance

### Özet

Bu derlemenin amacı, yüksek performanslı atletlerde antrenman yükü yönetimi, beslenme stratejileri ve yapay zekâ destekli takip süreçlerinin performans üzerindeki etkilerini incelemektir. Çalışma, yayımlanan güncel bilimsel kaynaklardan yararlanarak bu üç alanın etkileşimini disiplinler arası bir bakış açısıyla değerlendirmektedir. Elit sporcularda iç ve dış antrenman yükünün doğru biçimde planlanması, fizyolojik uyumların gelişimi, aşırı antrenman sendromunun önlenmesi ve uzun dönem performans sürdürülebilirliği açısından belirleyici bir faktördür. Uygun toparlanma süreçleriyle desteklenen sistemik yük yönetimi, dayanıklılık, güç ve çeviklik gibi performans bileşenlerinin gelişimine doğrudan katkı sağlar. Ayrıca, bireyselleştirilmiş beslenme planları ve bilimsel temelli ergojenik desteklerin (kreatin, kafein, beta-alanin vb.) kullanımı, enerji metabolizmasını optimize ederek performans çıktılarında anlamlı artışlara yol açmaktadır. Günümüzde spor bilimlerinde hızla gelişen giyilebilir teknolojiler ve yapay zekâ tabanlı analiz sistemleri ise antrenman yükünün gerçek zamanlı takibini, veriye dayalı karar alma süreçlerini ve yaralanma risklerinin önceden öngörülmesini mümkün kılmaktadır. Sonuç olarak, antrenman yükünün dengeli yönetimi, doğru beslenme stratejileri ve yapay zekâ destekli takip sistemlerinin entegrasyonu; elit sporcularda performansın sürdürülebilir şekilde artırılmasına, toparlanma verimliliğinin yükseltilmesine ve fizyolojik kapasitenin maksimum düzeyde kullanılmasına önemli ölçüde katkı sağlamaktadır.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Beslenme, Yapay zeka, Performans

### Introduction

Sustaining high performance in elite athletes is not solely dependent on enhancing physical capacity; instead, it is achieved through the precise management of training load, the implementation of evidence-based nutritional strategies, and the continuous monitoring of performance data using contemporary technologies. Modern sports science recognises that performance development is a multidimensional and dynamic process. Within this process, supporting physiological adaptations,

preventing insufficient recovery, and designing individualised preparation programs are of critical importance (Meeusen et al., 2013; Halson, 2014).

At the elite level, even marginal performance gains can provide a competitive advantage. In contrast, improper management of training load can lead to significant consequences, including overtraining syndrome, injuries, and performance decline (Soligard et al., 2016). Training load consists of two fundamental components: internal load (encompassing physiological and psychological responses) and external load (referring to the volume, intensity, and duration of the applied training stimulus) (Impellizzeri et al., 2019). The balanced planning of these two dimensions is essential for achieving optimal adaptation in athletes. Load management not only enhances performance but also reduces the risk of injury, becoming a key determinant of long-term athletic career longevity (Gabbett, 2016).

Accordingly, contemporary sports methodology conceptualises training as a “stress-adaptation balance,” emphasising that physiological development can be sustained through the provision of an appropriate stress stimulus coupled with adequate recovery cycles. Nutrition constitutes another integral dimension of performance science. Energy intake, macronutrient and micronutrient distribution, hydration, and the use of ergogenic aids directly influence metabolic efficiency and recovery rate in athletes (Thomas et al., 2016).

Particularly during periods of high-intensity training, maintaining muscle glycogen stores, enhancing protein synthesis, and reducing oxidative stress are decisive factors for sustaining performance. The controlled use of well-supported ergogenic supplements such as creatine, caffeine, and beta-alanine provides notable improvements in power output, anaerobic capacity, and fatigue tolerance (Grgic et al., 2021).

In recent years, one of the most significant developments influencing athletic performance has been the widespread adoption of artificial intelligence–assisted monitoring and performance analytics systems. Wearable technologies such as GPS units, accelerometers, heart-rate sensors, and lactate measurement devices enable the real-time tracking of athletes’ physiological status with high accuracy (Cummins et al., 2013). AI-based data analytics platforms process these datasets to facilitate individualised training prescriptions, early identification of injury risk, and optimisation of the load-recovery cycle. Consequently, performance management has shifted away from a solely intuitive coaching approach toward an evidence-driven, data-centred framework.

Within the contemporary sports ecosystem, these three components—load management, nutritional strategies, and AI-supported monitoring systems—are regarded as interdependent and mutually reinforcing processes. When training load is not supported by appropriate nutrition, performance gains remain limited. Conversely, even optimal nutrition cannot compensate for an improper load-recovery balance, which may elicit excessive stress responses. Moreover, in the absence of systematic data tracking, it becomes impossible to construct accurate, individualised performance profiles. Therefore, performance optimisation necessitates a multidisciplinary management paradigm (Joyner & Coyle, 2008).

In sum, performance enhancement in elite athletes extends far beyond the development of physical capacities. The integration of individualised load planning, targeted nutritional strategies, and AI-enhanced monitoring technologies constitutes the core foundation for sustaining high performance. Within this context, an interdisciplinary perspective that prioritises both athletic achievement and the protection of athlete health represents the central focus of contemporary sports science. Performance development requires not only improvements in physical attributes but also the enhancement of the athlete’s capacity to adapt to environmental and psychological stressors.

In high-performance sport, it is well established that adaptation processes exhibit substantial inter-individual variability; therefore, training, nutrition, and recovery protocols must be personalised for each athlete (Bourdon et al., 2017). The principle of individualisation is grounded in the notion that different athletes may produce distinct physiological responses to the same training load, and this approach is particularly critical for optimising performance at the elite level (Collins & MacNamara, 2018). Aligning nutritional strategies with training load is crucial for stimulating muscle protein synthesis, supporting glycogen replenishment, and enhancing recovery. Prolonged energy deficiency can lead not only to declines in performance but also to compromised immune function and hormonal imbalances. Accordingly, contemporary sports nutrition emphasises maintaining adequate energy availability, adjusting macronutrient distribution according to performance phases, and employing evidence-based ergogenic aids when appropriate (Maughan et al., 2018).

Recent advancements in artificial intelligence-based performance monitoring technologies have enabled the continuous tracking of athletes' physiological responses, allowing for the development of dynamic, individualised loading models rather than linear, one-size-fits-all frameworks (Bujalance-Moreno et al., 2019). Machine learning algorithms analyse variables such as training load history, sleep quality, heart rate variability, and movement patterns to predict overload risk in advance. Consequently, training design evolves into a proactive system that simultaneously targets performance enhancement and injury prevention.

This interdisciplinary approach represents a sustainable performance model that prioritises athlete health. Whereas traditional performance enhancement efforts frequently relied solely on increasing training volume, contemporary science confirms that long-term success is achieved through the balanced management of the load-recovery-nutrition-psychological analytics cycle. In this context, collaboration among the coach, sport scientist, nutrition specialist, sport psychologist, and data analyst has become an indispensable component of elite performance (Li et al., 2020).

### **Research Model**

This study was designed as a literature-based model grounded in a qualitative research approach. Throughout the research process, recently published scientific articles, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses were examined. PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science databases were utilised as primary data sources, and searches were conducted using the keywords “elite athletes,” “training load,” “nutritional strategies,” “ergogenic aids,” “AI-assisted monitoring,” and “performance optimisation.”

The inclusion criteria comprised experimental or review-type studies focusing on performance optimisation in elite athletes. The findings obtained from the literature were conceptually categorised and interpreted under three principal themes: (1) training load management, (2) nutritional strategies, and (3) AI-supported monitoring systems.

This model aims to synthesise contemporary trends in the literature within an integrated framework and to provide evidence-based recommendations for practitioners.

### **Findings**

Recent studies in the field of sports science indicate that digitalisation and artificial intelligence-based systems are increasingly shaping the monitoring and enhancement of athletic performance. A study examining the technological awareness levels of students in faculties of sport sciences reported that emerging digital technologies are generating a significant transformation in sports education, and individuals' ability to adapt to such technologies is closely associated with performance development (Çakır et al., 2022).

Artificial intelligence and drone technologies have been shown to exert a growing influence within sports science. Research has demonstrated that these technologies offer groundbreaking innovations for monitoring athletic performance, conducting tactical analyses, and improving the efficiency of sports organisations. In particular, studies highlight that high-resolution data collected via drones can be analysed through AI algorithms to identify athletes' strengths and areas for improvement with notable precision (Uluca et al., 2024).

A study conducted among sport sciences students found that individuals who do not participate in regular physical activity exhibit significantly higher levels of fear of missing out (FOMO) on social media developments compared with those who engage in regular sports participation. Moreover, behaviours such as checking the phone immediately upon waking and spending extended periods on the phone before sleep were identified as factors that increase FOMO levels (Ceyhan & Çakır, 2021). According to the researchers, the persistent desire to remain constantly engaged with social media content may lead individuals to become increasingly dependent on external stimuli, thereby negatively affecting their focus, self-regulation, and psychological well-being. This underscores the importance of maintaining attentional integrity during training and recovery periods, particularly for athletes.

Carbohydrates constitute the primary energy source during high-intensity and repeated efforts. Insufficient carbohydrate intake may lead to early fatigue, reduced power output, and diminished training quality. Additionally, adequate protein intake distributed appropriately across training cycles forms the basis of muscle repair and hypertrophy processes. Current approaches indicate that elite athletes should consume between 1.6 and 2.2 g/kg of protein per day (Morton et al., 2018). Furthermore, post-exercise carbohydrate-protein combinations have been reported to support muscle protein synthesis and glycogen replenishment more effectively than protein alone.

In addition, the informed and evidence-based use of ergogenic aids can provide performance advantages. For example, creatine has been shown to enhance sprint and explosive power performance by increasing phosphocreatine stores, particularly in sports requiring short-duration, high-intensity power output (Mujika & Padilla, 2017). Caffeine, on the other hand, may enhance endurance performance by reducing perceived exertion through central nervous system mechanisms.

Low energy availability in athletes can lead to severe consequences, including hormonal imbalances, performance decline, reduced bone mineral density, and increased injury risk. This condition has been defined in the literature as Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S), and it has been demonstrated to affect both female and male athletes (Mountjoy et al., 2018). Therefore, nutritional programs for athletes must be individualised by considering body composition, sport discipline, training intensity, and competitive season.

In conclusion, nutritional strategies represent one of the fundamental determinants of performance in elite athletes. An appropriate energy balance, periodised macronutrient distribution, evidence-based use of ergogenic aids, and recovery-focused nutritional practices all contribute substantially to enhancing and sustaining performance capacity.

One of the core components of performance optimisation in elite athletes is the implementation of periodised nutritional strategies aligned with training cycles. Nutrition should not only ensure adequate caloric intake but also enhance metabolic flexibility, support muscle function, and stabilise neuroendocrine regulation (Jeukendrup, 2017). Particularly among endurance athletes, cyclic manipulation of carbohydrate intake—such as implementing “high-carbohydrate days” and specific “low-carbohydrate training sessions”—has been shown to improve fat oxidation capacity and enhance energy efficiency during prolonged exercise (Stellingwerff et al., 2019).

Fat intake also plays a significant role in performance. Polyunsaturated fatty acids influence cell membrane fluidity and inflammation control, supporting muscle repair and immune protection during

periods of high training load (Philp, 2020). This is especially relevant for mitigating the risk of immune suppression associated with excessive training stress.

Micronutrients such as iron, vitamin D, calcium, and magnesium are critically important. Low ferritin levels can impair oxygen transport via haemoglobin, resulting in notable reductions in endurance performance (Burden et al., 2015). Similarly, vitamin D deficiency has been shown to affect muscle function and recovery rate negatively (Owens et al., 2018).

Another essential dimension of nutritional strategies is pre-competition and in-competition fueling. Adequate fluid and electrolyte intake during competition is necessary not only to prevent dehydration but also to sustain motor control, neuromuscular coordination, and cognitive decision-making processes. Optimal hydration supports thermoregulation and helps prevent fatigue and performance decrements.

Scientific evidence consistently demonstrates that nutritional strategies for elite athletes must be individualised. Genetic metabolic differences, gut microbiota composition, and hormonal responses contribute to substantial inter-individual variability in nutritional needs and outcomes. Therefore, contemporary sports nutrition prioritises biologically informed, data-driven, and periodised planning rather than standardised recommendations.

Proper management of training load is a fundamental determinant of performance enhancement, injury reduction, and long-term athletic career sustainability in elite athletes. Effective training load strategies become sustainable when they incorporate integrated monitoring of internal and external load, structured recovery processes, and attention to individual athlete responses.

Performance development in elite athletes is closely linked to the accurate planning and monitoring of training load. Training load represents the combination of the physical stress imposed on the organism and the corresponding physiological and psychological responses. Gradual and controlled progression of this load is a core principle for achieving long-term performance adaptation (Foster et al., 2001). The objective of training load planning is to promote positive physiological adaptations in endurance, strength, speed, and skill components while preventing performance decrements arising from excessive loading.

Modern sport science emphasises the central role of the load–recovery cycle in load management. When recovery is insufficient, accumulated muscle damage, immune suppression, mood disturbances, and reductions in fatigue tolerance may occur (Kellmann & Beckmann, 2018). Conversely, load phases supported by appropriate recovery contribute to improvements in key performance determinants such as mitochondrial density, capillarisation, and neuromuscular coordination.

## **Discussion and Conclusion**

The findings of this review clearly demonstrate that performance optimisation in elite athletes is a multidimensional and interdependent process, requiring the integration of physiological, nutritional, and technological domains and relying on a single parameter—whether training, nutrition, or monitoring—fails to capture the complexity of adaptation mechanisms that govern elite athletic performance. Instead, the evidence suggests that an effective performance model must address the dynamic interplay between these factors, each of which contributes uniquely to physical readiness, competitive success, and long-term athlete health.

A central theme emerging from the literature is the importance of individualised training load management. Elite athletes do not respond uniformly to identical training stimuli; variations in neuromuscular efficiency, recovery capacity, hormonal regulation, and psychological resilience create divergent adaptation pathways. Consequently, training load must be tailored based on continuous monitoring of both internal and external load markers. Such individualised planning not only facilitates

performance enhancement but also significantly mitigates the risk of overtraining, non-functional overreaching, and injury. The integration of recovery cycles—planned with equal emphasis as training sessions—supports physiological adaptations such as mitochondrial proliferation, improved capillarisation, and enhanced neuromuscular coordination, all of which contribute to long-term performance sustainability.

Nutritional strategies represent another crucial component of this integrated performance framework. Optimal performance is contingent upon maintaining adequate energy availability, regulating macronutrient intake according to training phases, and supporting recovery with purposeful nutrient timing. As the literature indicates, low energy availability can disrupt multiple physiological systems, leading to suppressed immunity, hormonal imbalance, diminished bone mineral density, and, ultimately, decreased performance. Therefore, nutrition must be systematically personalised based on sport-specific requirements, individual metabolic differences, variations in gut microbiota, and competitive schedules. Strategic use of ergogenic aids—such as creatine, caffeine, and beta-alanine—further enhances anaerobic power, muscular endurance, and fatigue resistance when applied in evidence-based and athlete-specific contexts.

Advances in artificial intelligence-supported monitoring technologies have added a transformative dimension to performance management. Wearable sensors, GPS tracking, inertial measurement units (IMUs), and machine learning platforms enable continuous assessment of physiological and biomechanical responses with unprecedented accuracy. AI-driven analytics can detect subtle deviations from standard patterns—such as decreased sleep quality, increased training strain, or changes in movement symmetry—long before subjective fatigue becomes apparent. This predictive capability allows coaches and performance scientists to adjust training loads proactively, improving both performance output and athlete safety. The integration of AI systems thus shifts performance management from a reactive model to a preventive, data-centred strategy.

Taken together, these findings emphasise that performance optimisation in elite sport requires a comprehensive, interdisciplinary approach. Training load planning, targeted nutritional interventions, and AI-based monitoring systems function synergistically; when applied independently, their impact is limited, but when integrated, they form a robust framework capable of maximising athletic potential. Such a model aligns with contemporary high-performance environments, where iterative feedback loops, evidence-based decision-making, and individualised profiling are crucial for achieving competitive success.

In conclusion, enhancing performance in elite athletes is feasible only through a personalised, adaptive, and technology-supported management system. This model must incorporate:

- Precise and individualised training load planning,
- Periodised and evidence-based nutritional strategies, and
- Artificial intelligence-driven monitoring and feedback mechanisms.

By harmonising these components, coaches and practitioners can support sustained performance development, reduce injury risk, and promote long-term athlete well-being. Future research should investigate how emerging technologies and integrative methodologies can further refine individualised performance models and enhance the scientific foundation of elite sport.

### **Limitations**

This review has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, the analysis was restricted to studies published in specific databases (PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science), which may have resulted in the omission of relevant research available in other databases or grey literature. Additionally,

the studies included in the review exhibit methodological heterogeneity. Variations in measurement techniques, sample sizes, and sport disciplines may complicate direct comparisons across studies. Another limitation is that only articles published in English were considered, which may have excluded potentially valuable studies published in other languages. These limitations should be considered when interpreting the findings presented in this review.

### **Practical Implications**

The findings of this review may offer several practical insights for coaches, performance scientists, sports physicians, nutrition specialists, and analysts.

Regularly monitoring internal and external load responses may support more informed training-load decisions and be particularly beneficial during periods of increased training intensity.

Tailoring nutritional strategies to an athlete's energy needs, metabolic characteristics, and competition schedule may contribute positively to performance outcomes. Practices such as carbohydrate periodisation, protein timing, and micronutrient optimisation may be particularly advantageous.

The use of AI-assisted monitoring technologies as supportive tools in training design may help practitioners detect early warning signs related to fatigue or maladaptation. Regular observation of sleep indicators, HRV, movement patterns, and fatigue markers could contribute to a more holistic understanding of the athlete's condition.

Evaluating ergogenic aids within an evidence-based framework and under the guidance of professionals may be beneficial for some athletes, depending on their discipline and performance demands.

These implications may provide practitioners with a flexible framework for supporting athletic performance and potentially reducing injury-related risks.

### **Future Research Directions**

Future research could explore several areas related to performance optimisation in elite athletes in greater depth.

Comparing the accuracy and applicability of AI-driven monitoring systems across different sport disciplines may offer valuable insights.

Developing integrated models that examine the interaction between training load, nutrition strategies, and psychological stress may contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of performance mechanisms.

Investigating the influence of genetic factors, gut microbiota profiles, and neuroendocrine responses on individualised training and nutrition programmes could provide meaningful contributions to the field.

Longitudinal studies clarify the long-term effects of integrating load management, nutrition, and technological monitoring on performance outcomes.

Exploration of these areas may contribute to the development of more refined, data-driven, and personalised performance models.

### **References**

Bourdon, P. C., Cardinale, M., Murray, A., Gatin, P., Kellmann, M., Varley, M. C., ... & Cable, N. T. (2017). Monitoring athlete training loads: Consensus statement. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 12(S2), S2161–S2170.

- Bujalance-Moreno, P., Latorre-Román, P., & García-Pinillos, F. (2019). A systematic review on small-sided games in football players: Acute and chronic responses. *Journal of Sports Sciences, 37*(8), 921–949.
- Burden, R., Morton, K., Richards, T., & Whyte, G. (2015). Is iron treatment beneficial in athletes? *Sports Medicine, 45*(2), 139–151.
- Ceyhan, M. A., & Çakır, Z. (2021). Examination of Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) States of Students Who Study at the School of Physical Education and Sports in Terms of Some Variables. *Education Quarterly Reviews, 4*(4), 419–427.
- Collins, D., & MacNamara, Á. (2018). *Talent development: A practitioner guide*. Routledge.
- Cummins, C., Orr, R., O'Connor, H., & West, C. (2013). Global positioning systems (GPS) and microtechnology sensors in team sports: A systematic review. *Sports Medicine, 43*(10), 1025–1042.
- Çakır, Z., Gönen, M., & Ceyhan, M. A. (2022). Spor Bilimleri Fakültesi Öğrencilerinin Metaverse Farkındalıklarının İncelenmesi. *CBÜ Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 17*(2), 406–418.
- Foster, C., Florhaug, J., Franklin, J., Gottschall, L., Hrovatin, L., Parker, S., ... & Dodge, C. (2001). A new approach to monitoring exercise training. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 15*(1), 109–115.
- Gabbett, T. J. (2016). The training–injury prevention paradox: Should athletes be training smarter and harder? *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 50*(5), 273–280.
- Grgic, J., Pickering, C., Aragon, A. A., Schoenfeld, B. J., Cuhna, F. A., Tinsley, G., & Bishop, D. (2021). International society of sports nutrition position stand: Caffeine and exercise performance. *Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition, 18*(1), 1–37.
- Halson, S. L. (2014). Monitoring training load to understand fatigue in athletes. *Sports Medicine, 44*(2), 139–147.
- Impellizzeri, F. M., Marcora, S. M., & Coutts, A. J. (2019). Internal and external training load: 15 years on. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 14*(2), 270–273.
- Jeukendrup, A. (2017). Periodized nutrition for athletes. *Sports Medicine, 47*(S1), 51–63.
- Joyner, M. J., & Coyle, E. F. (2008). Endurance exercise performance: The physiology of champions. *The Journal of Physiology, 586*(1), 35–44.
- Kellmann, M., & Beckmann, J. (2018). *Sport, recovery and performance: Interdisciplinary insights*. Routledge.
- Li, R. T., Kling, S. R., Salata, M. J., Cupp, S. A., Sheehan, J., & Voos, J. E. (2020). Wearable performance devices in sports medicine. *Sports Health, 12*(5), 432–438.
- Maughan, R. J., Burke, L. M., Dvorak, J., Larson-Meyer, D. E., Peeling, P., Phillips, S. M., ... & Engebretsen, L. (2018). IOC consensus statement: Dietary supplements and the high-performance athlete. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 52*(7), 439–455.
- Meeusen, R., Duclos, M., Foster, C., Fry, A., Gleeson, M., Nieman, D., ... & Urhausen, A. (2013). Prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of the overtraining syndrome: Joint consensus statement. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 45*(1), 186–205.
- Morton, R. W., Murphy, K. T., McKellar, S. R., Schoenfeld, B. J., Henselmans, M., Helms, E., ... & Phillips, S. M. (2018). A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression of the effect of protein supplementation on resistance training outcomes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 52*(6), 376–384.
- Mountjoy, M., Sundgot-Borgen, J., Burke, L., Ackerman, K. E., Blauwet, C., Constantini, N., ... & Ljungqvist, A. (2018). IOC consensus statement on Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). *British Journal of Sports Medicine, 52*(11), 687–697.
- Mujika, I., & Padilla, S. (2017). Creatine supplementation as an ergogenic aid for sports performance in highly trained athletes. *Sports Medicine, 47*(9), 1785–1798.
- Owens, D. J., Allison, R., Close, G. L. (2018). Vitamin D and the athlete: Current perspectives and new challenges. *Sports Medicine, 48*(1), 3–16.
- Philp, A. (2020). Dietary fat and exercise metabolism: Mechanisms and practical implications. *Journal of Applied Physiology, 129*(1), 5–17.

- Soligard, T., Schwelunus, M., Alonso, J. M., Bahr, R., Clarsen, B., Dijkstra, H. P., ... & Engebretsen, L. (2016). How much is too much? (Part 1) International Olympic Committee consensus statement. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(17), 1030–1041.
- Stellingwerff, T., Morton, J. P., & Burke, L. M. (2019). A framework for periodized nutrition in modern elite endurance athletes. *Sports Medicine*, 49(1), 13–30.
- Thomas, D. T., Erdman, K. A., & Burke, L. M. (2016). Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Dietitians of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine: Nutrition and athletic performance. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 116(3), 501–528.
- Uluca, M., Yel, K., Güzel, S., & Çakır, Z. (2024). Yapay Zeka ve Drone Teknolojileri ile Spor Etkinlikleri Gözlem ve Analizinde Güncel Yaklaşımlar. *Dede Korkut Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 2(2), 47-70.